

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,262	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816	

All Participants:

(1) Linh M. Nguyen.

(2) Richard Sharkansky.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

4, 7, 9-12, 16-18 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A teleconference was held to clarify the claim language to better define the invention and to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)